IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

2

4 SPRINT NEXTEL CORP.,

v.

No. C 12-2764 CW

5

6

7

8

Plaintiff,

ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO

SUBMIT SUPPLEMENTAL

THUC NGO d/b/a TONY BUY IPHONE,

MATERIALS RE:

Defendant.

SANCTIONS

9

10

18 19

21 II

22

25

26

27 28

On March 18, 2013, Plaintiff Sprint Nextel Corporation moved for an order to show cause why Defendant Thuc Ngo should not be 12 held in civil and criminal contempt. In its motion, Plaintiff 13 requested that the Court impose various compensatory and punitive 14|| sanctions upon Defendant for violating the October 9, 2012 15 | injunction. Plaintiff's showing is deficient in several ways. 16 The Court therefore allows Plaintiff to submit supplemental documentation to support its request for sanctions.

Specifically, Plaintiff shall submit: (1) an itemized billing statement to support its request for attorneys' fees and costs; $20\parallel(2)$ an itemized billing statement to justify its request for investigative costs; (3) sworn evidence, from a person with personal knowledge, documenting how many Sprint cellphones 23 Defendant has purchased since the injunction issued and explaining 24 how it calculated its claimed damages. In addition, Plaintiff shall submit a supplemental brief, not to exceed two pages,

¹ The declarations Plaintiff previously submitted do not provide sufficient details for the Court to determine whether Plaintiff's request for compensatory sanctions is reasonable or accurate.

Case 4:12-cv-02764-CW Document 59 Filed 04/30/13 Page 2 of 2

United States District CourtFor the Northern District of California

describing in greater detail the nature of the coercive sanctions it seeks and explaining how and why such sanctions should be imposed. All of these materials must be filed by May 23, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 4/30/2013

United States District Judge